UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,629	05/09/2007	Jean-Paul Louvel	PD040021	6100
²⁴⁴⁹⁸ Joseph J. Laks	7590 11/19/200	EXAMINER		
Thomson Licen		CLARK, CHRISTOPHER JAY		
PO Box 5312	2 Independence Way, Patent Operations PO Box 5312			PAPER NUMBER
PRINCETON, NJ 08543			2836	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/586,629	LOUVEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CHRISTOPHER J. CLARK	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	⁻ election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/19/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/586,629 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on July 19, 2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The language of the claim is confusing. The claim portrays the limitation that the microprocessor is responsible for switching on the picture display device, which is not discussed in the applicant's disclosure. The examiner feels there is an error of clarity present in this claim. The expedite examination, the examiner will attempt to address the claim as best as possible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,629 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

6. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woo et al (2004/0179321).

- 7. In re Claim 1, Woo teaches a circuit arrangement for degaussing a picture tube as seen in Figure 1 comprising:
 - A degaussing coil (160)
 - A single PTC element (151)
 - A switch (140)
 - Wherein said degaussing coil, PTC, and switch are arranged in series for providing degaussing by activation of said switch for a defined time interval (Paragraphs 34 and 35)
- 8. In re Claim 3, Woo teaches that the time interval is a value of 1 to 20 seconds (Paragraph 35).
- 9. In re Claim 4, Woo teaches that the switch is a relay (140) having a mains isolation with the control contacts being coupled to a microprocessor (130) for control by the microprocessor (paragraph 35).
- 10. In re Claim 7, Woo teaches that it is desirable to have a PTC resistor with a resistance of4.5 ohms. (Paragraph 50).
- 11. In re Claim 8, Woo teaches that the circuit arrangement is designed to be used with a picture display device with a cathode ray tube (Paragraph 3).
- 12. In re Claim 9, Woo teaches that the switch is a relay (140) having a mains isolation with the control contacts being coupled to a microprocessor (130) for control by the microprocessor (paragraph 35).

Application/Control Number: 10/586,629 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woo et al.

- 14. Woo discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly stating that the time interval for switch off takes place when the ampere-turns product is greater than 5. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to specify a time interval that allows for a ampere-turns product greater than 5 in order to prevent the PTC from overheating since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).
- 15. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woo et al in view of the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) and Sakamaki et al.
- 16. In re Claims 5 and 6, Woo teaches that it is desirable for the degaussing coil to have a resistance of 17 ohms (Paragraph 50). Woo does not teach what material the coil is made of.
- 17. The AAPA teaches that it is known in the art to form the degaussing coil out of copper although it results in a heavy coil (Lines 8-19 of Page 3).
- 18. Sakamaki teaches that it is known to replace the copper in coils with aluminum in order to reduce the weight and bulk of the coil (Column 13 Lines 34-38).
- 19. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create the degaussing coil of Woo with aluminum in order to reduce the weight and bulk of the coil.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER J. CLARK whose telephone number is

(571)270-1427. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00-6:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on 571-727-1869. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CJC

11/14/2008

/Stephen W Jackson/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836